

# State aid Broadband - Qualitative questionnaire Operators

## Background

---

### 1. Company name

Your responses to this survey will be kept confidential and anonymous. Your identity will remain confidential and your answers will only be reported in an aggregate manner. \*

### 2. Number of employees \*

0-250	▲
250-1000	■
1000-5000	■
5000+	▼

### 3. In which country is your head office situated?

\*



A vertical scrollable list of countries. The list is contained within a white rectangular box with a thin border. The background of the list area is a black and white checkerboard pattern. The countries listed are: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom, US, and Other. The list is scrollable, with a small upward-pointing arrow at the top and a downward-pointing arrow at the bottom.

- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Republic
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- United Kingdom
- US
- Other

#### 4. In which EU countries do you operate?

(Multiple options possible) \*



A vertical scrollable list of EU countries and 'EU-wide'. The list is contained within a rectangular box with a checkered border on the right side. The items in the list are: EU-wide, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and United Kingdom. The list is currently showing the first 32 items, with a scrollbar on the right indicating that there are more items below.

- EU-wide
- Austria
- Belgium
- Bulgaria
- Croatia
- Cyprus
- Czech Republic
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- France
- Germany
- Greece
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Italy
- Latvia
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Romania
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- United Kingdom

## 5. Operator type

(If other, please specify)

\*

  
  
  

## 6. Structural model \*

  
  

## 7. Financial/operational model \*

[Load](#) Show/hide trigger exists.

**8. Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? \***

- Recipient (Successful bidder)
- Bidder (Applied for State aid - whether successful or not)
- Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)

Comments

---

### Views on overall funding and objectives for state aid in your jurisdiction

9. How effective do you consider the state aid policy in your market has been at supporting **broadband deployment** in areas of market failure?  
(Please elaborate)

1 (Ineffective) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Very effective)

Effectiveness

Comments

10. Do you consider that the objectives set for broadband deployment through State aid in your jurisdiction are **relevant** and **adequate for future needs** in the context of the "Gigabit Society"?

(Please elaborate)

	1 (Not relevant for future needs)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 (Very relevant for future needs)
Relevance	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comments

11. How effective do you consider the state aid policy in your market has been at supporting **open access**?

(Please elaborate)

	1 (Ineffective)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 (Very effective)
Effectiveness	<input type="radio"/>									

Comments

12. To what extent has the broadband state policy applied in your jurisdiction been effective in targeting areas of market failure without **squeezing out private investment**?

(Please elaborate and provide evidence where possible)

1 (Ineffective) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Very effective)

Effectiveness

Comments

13. Has the **level of state funding** in your jurisdiction been about right, excessive or insufficient to meet broadband investment requirements in areas subject to market failure?

(Please elaborate)

Level right  
Excessive  
Insufficient

Comments

14. Taking into account the level of state subsidies and associated investments, **how efficient** do you consider that the state aid policy in your market has been at supporting broadband deployment in areas of market failure? To which degree do you consider that the **benefits have exceeded the costs**?

(Please elaborate)

	1 (Costs significantly exceed benefits)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 (Benefits significantly exceed costs)
Efficiency	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comments

15. To what extent do you consider that application of the state aid programme in your jurisdiction has been **administratively efficient**?

(Please elaborate)

	1 (Inefficient)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 (Very efficient)
Efficiency	<input type="radio"/>									

Comments

16. To which extent do you consider that state aid has been applied **consistently** with principles established in other instruments such as the Broadband Cost Reduction Directive, ex ante SMP regulation and competition law?

(Please elaborate)

1 (No consistency)    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10 (Full consistency)

Consistency                                           

Comments

17. What is your view of the effectiveness of the **administrative set-up for state aid** in your jurisdiction including the distribution of responsibilities between national Government, regional and local authorities and the NRA?

(Please elaborate)

1 (Ineffective)    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10 (Very effective)

Effectiveness                                       

Comments

18. How important do you consider the **involvement of the NRA** in the state aid process?

(Please elaborate)

1 (Unimportant) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Very important)

Importance

Comments

### Mapping and consultation

---

19. **Was there a mapping process, and if so, do you consider that this process resulted in an accurate projection enabling the identification of areas of market failure susceptible to state aid?**

(Please elaborate)

No mapping process conducted  
Not at all accurate  
Somewhat accurate  
Very accurate

Comments

**20. If you experienced challenges associated with the mapping process, which were the main areas of concern?**

- Areas too large
- Forecasts misleading or inaccurate
- Lack of action in relation to inaccurate forecasts
- Other - Write In (Required)

\*

**21. Was there a transparent and fair public consultation on the existing and planned infrastructure in the target areas?**

(Please elaborate)

No consultation process  
Limited consultation process  
Extensive consultation process

Comments

**22. What recommendations would you suggest to maximise the efficiency and accuracy of the mapping process?**

**LOGIC** Show/hide trigger exists.

**23. Did you experience any challenges associated with the application of the "technological neutrality" principle e.g. when identifying which technologies would constitute a step change for the purposes of allocating broadband state aid?**

  

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #23 Question "Did you experience any challenges associated with the application of the "technological neutrality" principle e.g. when identifying which technologies would constitute a step change for the purposes of allocating broadband state aid?" is one of the following answers ("Yes")

**24. If yes, please elaborate on the challenges associated with the application of the "technological neutrality" principle**

**25. What recommendations would you suggest to ensure that state aid is targeted towards the most appropriate technological solutions?**

**26. Did you experience any challenges in the manner in which black, grey and white zones were distinguished?**

(Please elaborate)

Yes

No

Comments

**27. What recommendations would you suggest to ensure that state aid is targeted towards the most appropriate areas in terms of their classification between black, grey and white?**

## **Tendering and award procedures**

---

### **Page entry logic:**

This page will show when: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Bidder (Applied for State aid - whether successful or not)")

**28. For how many State aid supported projects did you submit a bid?**

**29. For how many of your bids were you successful?**

**30. In cases where you were unsuccessful, what were the reasons for which your offer was rejected?**

**31. Was the selection process for state aid fair and non-discriminatory in your view i.e. did it supporting a competitive bidding procedure and avoid favouring operators of a certain scale, type, legacy background or origin?**

(Please elaborate)

Yes  
No

Comments

**32. If you do not believe that the selection process was fair, how far did the following factors negatively impact the procedure?**

(For any option, please elaborate your answer in the box below)

For each of the points below [no impact, limited impact, some impact, significant impact]

Lack of publicity around the tender process

No impact  
Limited impact  
Some impact  
Significant impact

Language requirements or other conditions disadvantaging bidders from other member states

No impact  
Limited impact  
Some impact  
Significant impact

Size or scope of lots

No impact  
Limited impact  
Some impact  
Significant impact

Rules explicitly or implicitly favouring the use of legacy infrastructure e.g. FTTC

- No impact
- Limited impact
- Some impact
- Significant impact

Lack of availability on fair terms of existing infrastructure e.g. subloops, access to ducts or poles

- No impact
- Limited impact
- Some impact
- Significant impact

Insufficient weight given to quality vs cost

- No impact
- Limited impact
- Some impact
- Significant impact

Nature or extent of access obligations required

- No impact
- Limited impact
- Some impact
- Significant impact

Qualitative criteria point to a specific outcome

- No impact
- Limited impact
- Some impact
- Significant impact

Enter another option

- No impact
- Limited impact
- Some impact
- Significant impact

Comments

**33. What effect did any perceived discrimination in the bidding procedure have on outcomes in your view?**

(For any option/s selected, please elaborate your answer in the box below)

- Favoured the incumbent operator
- Favoured operators connected with local, regional or national Government over private actors
- Favoured operators headquartered in the national territory
- Other - Write In (Required)

\*

Comments

**LOGIC** Show/hide trigger exists.

**34. Were you able to effectively make use of existing infrastructure such as ducts, poles and copper subloops, for the provision of broadband services in the area tendered?**

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #34 Question "Were you able to effectively make use of existing infrastructure such as ducts, poles and copper subloops, for the provision of broadband services in the area tendered?" is one of the following answers ("No")

**35. If not, please give reasons**

**36. Are there tenders which you would have bid for, but decided not to bid for, had the conditions been different?**

  

**LOGIC** Show/hide trigger exists.

**37. Have you bid for state aid outside of the country in which you have your headquarters?**

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #37 Question "**Have you bid for state aid outside of the country in which you have your headquarters?**" is one of the following answers ("No")

**38. If not, please give reasons**

## Deployment

---

### Page entry logic:

This page will show when: #8 Question "**Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? "** is one of the following answers ("Recipient (Successful bidder)")

**LOGIC** Show/hide trigger exists.

**39. Have any of the projects in which you have been involved been subject to delays impeding the deployment of broadband infrastructure?**

**Logic** Hidden unless: #39 Question "**Have any of the projects in which you have been involved been subject to delays impeding the deployment of broadband infrastructure?**" is one of the following answers ("Yes")

**40. If yes, what was the reason or reasons for these delays?**

(For any option/s selected, please elaborate your answer in the box below)

- Delays in award
- Delays in finalising the contract
- The award was appealed
- Problems in obtaining necessary permissions/rights of way
- Problems access existing infrastructure
- Other - Write In (Required)

\*

Comments

## Competition and wholesaling

---

**Page entry logic:**

This page will show when: #8 Question "**Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid?**" is one of the following answers ("Bidder (Applied for State aid - whether successful or not)", "Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**LOGIC** Show/hide trigger exists. Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Bidder (Applied for State aid - whether successful or not)")

**41. Do you consider that the wholesale access obligations associated with state aid in your jurisdiction were reasonable in view of the requirements of access-seekers?**

Yes  
No

Comments

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #41 Question "Do you consider that the wholesale access obligations associated with state aid in your jurisdiction were reasonable in view of the requirements of access-seekers?"

" is one of the following answers ("No")

**42. If you do not consider that the wholesale access obligations were reasonable, which is your assessment of the implementation costs in relation to demand?**

Implementation cost in relation to demand

Duct and pole access

1 (Costs are very high in relation to demand)  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

9

10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Sub-loop unbundling

1 (Costs are very high in relation to demand)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Unbundled access to fibre

1 (Costs are very high in relation to demand)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Virtual unbundled access/VULA

1 (Costs are very high in relation to demand)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

1 (Costs are very high in relation to demand)

2

3

IP Bitstream

- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Wholesale leased lines

- 1 (Costs are very high in relation to demand)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Dark fibre backhaul/core

- 1 (Costs are very high in relation to demand)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #41 Question "Do you consider that the wholesale access obligations associated with state aid in your jurisdiction were reasonable in view of the requirements of access-seekers?"

" is one of the following answers ("No")

**43. If you do not consider that the wholesale access obligations were reasonable, which is your assessment on the implications related to cost increases for viable deployment in the State aid zone?**

Perceived impact on business model and scope for deployment

Duct and pole access

Very negative  
Somewhat negative  
Neutral  
Somewhat positive  
Very positive  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Sub-loop unbundling

Very negative  
Somewhat negative  
Neutral  
Somewhat positive  
Very positive  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Unbundled access to fibre

Very negative  
Somewhat negative  
Neutral  
Somewhat positive  
Very positive  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Very negative  
Somewhat negative  
Neutral

Virtual unbundled access/VULA

Somewhat positive  
Very positive  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

IP Bitstream

Very negative  
Somewhat negative  
Neutral  
Somewhat positive  
Very positive  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Dark fibre backhaul/core

Very negative  
Somewhat negative  
Neutral  
Somewhat positive  
Very positive  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

Wholesale leased lines

Very negative  
Somewhat negative  
Neutral  
Somewhat positive  
Very positive  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Costs are reasonable in relation to demand)

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Bidder (Applied for State aid - whether successful or not)")

**44. If you consider that any of the forms of access described is unduly costly in relation to demand or would impact your business case, please elaborate the costs incurred, limited demand experienced or impacts on revenue (cherry-picking) and implications for your business case.**

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Bidder (Applied for State aid - whether successful or not)")

**45. In cases where you consider that obligations would be unduly burdensome or impact the business case, to what extent would these concerns be alleviated through obligations applying on "reasonable demand" and what implications would this have for the costs of providing access?**

(Please elaborate on the implications of "reasonable demand" on costs in the box below)

Reasonable demand would eliminate concerns  
Reasonable demand would eliminate some but not all concerns  
Reasonable demand would not address concerns

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**46. What are the pre-conditions for you to be able to enter markets for the provision of broadband services in areas supported by state aid, and which factors might deter such entry?**

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**47. In which subsidised areas have you sought access?**

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid?" is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**48. Which type(s) of access have you requested in areas supported by state aid?**

- Duct and pole access
- Sub-loop unbundling
- Unbundled access to fibre
- Virtual or active access/VULA
- IP bitstream
- Wholesale leased lines
- Dark fibre backhaul/core

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid?" is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**49. In your view, to which degree do the following wholesale access products enable differentiation and innovation in retail offers compared with the underlying wholesale offers?**

(For any option, please elaborate your answer in the box below)

Duct and pole access

- 1 (No differentiation possible)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Full differentiation)

1 (No differentiation possible)

2

Sub-loop unbundling

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Full differentiation)

Unbundled access to fibre

- 1 (No differentiation possible)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Full differentiation)

Virtual access/VULA

- 1 (No differentiation possible)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Full differentiation)

IP bitstream

- 1 (No differentiation possible)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Full differentiation)

- 1 (No differentiation possible)

Wholesale leased lines

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Full differentiation)

Dark fibre backhaul/core

- 1 (No differentiation possible)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Full differentiation)

Comments

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid?" is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**50. Are there differences in wholesale requirements for areas supported by state aid compared with other regions?**

(Please elaborate)

Yes  
No

Comments

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid?" is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**51. In your view, which wholesale products are most suited to the characteristics of rural areas funded by state aid?**

Duct and pole access

1 (Not suitable)  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Ideally suited)

1 (Not suitable)  
2  
3

Sub-loop unbundling

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Ideally suited)

Unbundled access to fibre

- 1 (Not suitable)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Ideally suited)

Virtual access/VULA

- 1 (Not suitable)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Ideally suited)

IP bitstream

- 1 (Not suitable)
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 (Ideally suited)

- 1 (Not suitable)
- 2

Wholesale leased lines

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Ideally suited)

Dark fibre backhaul/core

1 (Not suitable)  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (Ideally suited)

**LOGIC** Show/hide trigger exists. Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid?" is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**52. Were the wholesale products required in the context of state aid suitable for your needs?**

Yes  
No

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #52 Question "**Were the wholesale products required in the context of state aid suitable for your needs?**" is one of the following answers ("No")

**53. If no, please describe which wholesale products you sought, but were not required**

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "**Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid?**" is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**54. How many access lines have you obtained in areas supported by State aid?**

Km of duct access

Number of unbundled fibre lines

Number of virtual or active access lines

**Logic** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**55. Was the pricing of the wholesale access products fair and reasonable?**

(If relevant, please elaborate in which way you consider that the prices are not reasonable)

  

Comments



**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid?" is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**58. Has the award to multiple players deterred you from offering service in some areas?**

(Please elaborate on the additional costs associated with fragmented supply)

Yes  
No

Comments

**LOGIC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid?" is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**59. To what extent would standardised wholesale offers (i.e. same specifications) mitigate any inefficiencies or complexities involved in offering service over multiple state aid funded networks?**

(Please elaborate)

1 (No change)    2    3    4    5    6    7    8    9    10 (Costs and complexity would be entirely mitigated)

Costs mitigation

Comments

**Logic** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**60. To what extent is it important for you that products in state aid areas be identical to those offered in areas regulated via SMP?**

(Please elaborate)

1 (Not important) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 (Very important)

Importance

Comments

**Logic** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**61. In your experience, does the type and quality of wholesale offer differ depending on whether it has been supplied by a "wholesale only" provider compared with cases where the provider is vertically integrated?**

(Please elaborate)

	No experience	1 (Significantly worse from wholesale only)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 (Significantly better from wholesale only)
Level of quality for "wholesale only"	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comments

**LOEC** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**62. In your experience, does the type and quality of wholesale offer differ depending on whether it has been supplied by a public entity, PPP or private entity?**

(Please elaborate)

	No experience	1 (Significantly worse from public entity than private company)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 (Significantly better from public entity)
Level of quality for public entities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comments

**Logic** Hidden unless: #8 Question "Involvement in State aid in the EU: please explain in the textbox below in which EU country (one or more) did you seek access, did you bid or did you receive aid? " is one of the following answers ("Access seeker (Operator requesting wholesale access)")

**63. In cases where State aid has been awarded for NGA deployment in "grey" areas i.e. in the presence of another NGA infrastructure, to which degree has the new infrastructure supported more competitive outcomes than were possible before its installation?**

	1 (Not significant)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 (Very significant)
Significance	<input type="radio"/>									

### Enforcement

---

**64. What are your views on the efficacy of the monitoring and enforcement mechanisms established in your jurisdiction including any clawback mechanisms?**

(Please elaborate)

Not present	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ineffective	<input type="checkbox"/>
Moderately effective	<input type="checkbox"/>
Very effective	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments

### Other feedback

---

**65. Do you have any other comments you would like to share about the functioning of the broadband state aid regime in the jurisdictions in which you are active or would like to become active?**

**66. Would you please provide your contact information?**

(Please insert your email address in the box below. We will keep your address confidential and it will only be used to contact you in case of follow-up data request) \*